Comment to unresolved resonance data in JENDL-3.3

Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute Chikara KONNO and Yujiro IKEDA

Background - (1)

□ JENDL (JENDL-3.1 ~ JENDL-3.3) tends to use unresolved resonance data more than other evaluated nuclear data libraries.

 Not only heavy nuclei such as uranium, but also fission products such as niobium in JENDL include unresolved resonance data.

These unresolved resonance data were not often used in neutronics calculations so far, but they will be later.

- The NJOY code can make

ACE libraries with unresolved resonance data and multigroup libraries with self-shielding correction for unresolved resonance data.

- The MCNP4C code can use ACE libraries with unresolved resonances.

- The Sn codes such as ANISN can use multigroup libraries with selfshielding correction for unresolved resonance data.

Background - (2)

In ND2001, we pointed out that the leakage neutron spectrum from a natural niobium sphere with a 20 MeV neutron in the center, which was calculated with ANISN, MCNP and JENDL-3.3, had a large bump around 100 keV.



□ Unresolved resonance data cause the large bump around 100 keV.

It seems that the large bump is strange.

Objectives

It is considered that the unresolved resonance data in Nb-93 have some problems.

```
What problems?
How about other nuclei with unresolved resonance data in
JENDL-3.3?
```

We examined the above issues by using a multigroup library of JENDL-3.3 (MATXSLIB-J33).

Cross section data of Nb-93 in JENDL-3.3



The self-shielding correction of unresolved resonances for elastic scattering seems to be too large even around the upper energy of the unresolved resonance region. It is considered that this large self-shielding correction causes the large bump around 100 keV in the leakage neutron spectra.

Unresolved resonance data in JENDL-3.3 ⁹³Nb

Why is the self-shielding correction too large even around the upper energy [100 keV] of the unresolved resonance region in ⁹³Nb?

Probably the average reduced neutron widths are too large around the upper energy of the unresolved resonance region.

$$\overline{\Gamma^{0}}_{n,l=0,j=4} = 0.0085323 \text{ eV at } 7 \sim 100 \text{ keV}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma^{0}}_{n,l=0,j=5} = 0.006981 \text{ eV at } 7 \sim 100 \text{ keV}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma^{0}}_{n,l=1,j=3} = 0.1832 \text{ eV at } 7 \sim 100 \text{ keV}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma^{0}}_{n,l=1,j=4} = 0.14249 \text{ eV at } 7 \sim 100 \text{ keV}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma^{0}}_{n,l=1,j=5} = 0.11658 \text{ eV at } 7 \sim 100 \text{ keV}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma^{0}}_{n,l=1,j=5} = 0.098646 \text{ eV at } 7 \sim 100 \text{ keV}$$

The larger average reduced neutron widths are required to reproduce average elastic scattering cross sections in the unresolved resonance energy region, but they cause larger self-shielding correction.

Are the averaged reduced neutron widths and/or the upper energy of the unresolved resonance region appropriate?

How about other nuclei with unresolved resonance data in JENDL-3.3?

- Self-shielding corrected elastic scattering cross sections were deduced from multigroup libraries of JENDL-3.3 (MATXSLIB-J33).
 - As-75, Se-74, -76, -77, -78, -79, -80, -82, Br-79, Br-81, Kr-78, -80, -82, -83, -84, -85, Rb-85, -87, Sr-86, -87, -89, -90, Y-89, -91, Zr-91, -92, -93, -94, -95, Nb-93, -94, -95, Mo-92, -94, -95, -96, -97, -98, -100, Tc-99, Ru-96, -98, -99, -100, -101, -102, -103, -104, -106, Rh-103, -105, Pd-102, -104, -105, -106, -107, -108, -110, Ag-107, -109, Cd-106, -108, -110, -111, -112, -113, -114, -116, In-113, -115, Sn-112, -114, -115, -116, -117, -118, -119, -120, -122, -123, -124, -126, Sb-121, -123, -124, -125, Te-120, -122, -123, -124, -125, -126, -128, -130, I-127, -129, -131, Xe-124, -126, -128, -129, -130, -131, -132, -133, -134, -135, Cs-133, -134, -135, -136, -137, Ba-130, -132, -134, -135, -136, -137, -140, La-138, -139, Ce-141, -142, -144, Pr-141, -143, Nd-142, -143, -144, -145, -146, -147, -148, -150, Pm-147, -148, -149, Sm-144, -147, -148, -149, -150, -151, -152, -153, -154, Eu-151, -152, -153, -154, -155, -156, Gd-152, -154, -155, -156, -157, -158, -160, Tb-159, Er-167, Hf-174, -176, -177, -178, -179, -180, Ta-181, Pa-231, 233, U-233, -234, -235, -236, -237, -238, Np-237, Pu-236, -238, -239, -240, -241, -242, Am-241, -242, -243, Cm-240, -241, -242, -243, -244, -245, -246, -247, -248, -249, -250, Bk-249, -250, Cf-249, -250, -251, -252

Red : Strange gap appeas in the elastic scattering cross sections.

Self-shielding corrected elastic scattering cross section data of Mo isotopes in JENDL-3.3



Neutron energy [MeV]

Self-shielding corrected elastic scattering cross section data of Cd isotopes in JENDL-3.3



Self-shielding corrected elastic scattering cross section data of Ba isotopes in JENDL-3.3



Self-shielding corrected elastic scattering cross section data of Gd isotopes in JENDL-3.3



Self-shielding corrected elastic scattering cross section data of Cm isotopes in JENDL-3.3



Neutron energy [MeV]

Summary

- The self-shielding correction for the unresoved resonaces is too large around the upper energy of the unresolved resonance region in ⁹³Nb of JENDL-3.3. The following reasons for this problem are pointed out.
 - The average reduced neutron widths seem to be larger around the upper energy of the unresolved resonance region. and/or
 - The upper energy of the unresolved resonance region seems to be smaller.
- □ The above problem appears for many nuclei with unresolved resonance data in JENDL-3.3.
- All the unresolved resonance data in JENDL-3.3 should be rechecked and revised by considering self-shielding correction in the next JENDL.
- □ Other nuclear data libraries such as ENDF/B-VI may also have the same problem for unresolved resonance data as JENDL-3.3.